

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

<b>KRISTI GINN,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b>Case No. 18-CIV-392-RAW-SPS</b>
	)	
<b>ANDREW SAUL,</b>	)	
<b>Commissioner of the Social</b>	)	
<b>Security Administration,</b>	)	

**ORDER**

Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion for Relief Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 60(b)(6) and Motion for Attorney's Fees Under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) [Docket No. 22] and the Defendant's Response [Docket No. 23]. The Defendant declines to assert a position on the reasonableness of Plaintiff's requested attorney fees award. [Docket No. 23, Page 1].

On March 17, 2020, this court rendered a final judgment in favor of the Plaintiff. [Docket Nos. 18, 19]. A Notice of Award was issued on April 25, 2021.

In order to authorize payment of attorney fees pursuant to 42U.S.C. § 406(b), a court must act as an "independent check, to assure that they yield reasonable results in particular cases." McGraw v. Barnhart, 450 F.3d 493, 498 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006). A district court should determine the reasonableness and timeliness of the attorney fee request prior to awarding fees. Id., at 502 and 505. There is a limit, however, to the amount of fees that can be awarded pursuant to § 406(b): "Congress has provided one boundary line: Agreements are

unenforceable to the extent that they provide for fees exceeding 25 percent of the past-due benefits.” Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 122 S.Ct. 1817, 1828 (2002). The current request for attorney fees is not in excess of 25% of past-due benefits awarded to Plaintiff.

The Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a ruling in Wrenn, ex rel. Wrenn v. Astrue, 525 F.3d 931 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008) which states that “the 25% limitation on fees for court representation found in § 406(b) is not itself limited by the amount of fees awarded by the Commissioner.” Id., at 937. Thus, the combined attorney fees for representation before the Social Security Administration and on appeal to a district court are not limited to 25% of past-due benefits. After a review of the motion and supporting documents, the court finds that the fees requested are reasonable.

A motion for the award of attorney fees under § 406(b) should be filed within a “reasonable time of the Commissioner’s decision awarding benefits.” McGraw, at 505. There is no specific time frame for the filing of the motion. The instant motion was filed less than thirty days after the Notice of Award was received by counsel. The court finds that the request for attorney fees was filed within a reasonable time.

Plaintiff’s Motion for Attorney’s Fees Under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) [Docket No. 20] is **GRANTED**, and reasonable attorney’s fees are approved in the amount of **\$25,636.13**. Further, pursuant to Wrenn, counsel for Plaintiff is directed to refund the amount of the EAJA award to Plaintiff.

**Ordered this 28th day of June, 2021.**

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Ronald A. White".

---

**RONALD A. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**